## 2013-2014 Evaluation of Lee F. Jackson UNT System Chancellor

## 1. Please evaluate Lee F. Jackson, UNT System Chancellor in the following areas.

#	Question	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	No Opinion	Total Responses	Mean
1	1. Provides effective leadership for UNT	20	6	3	1	0	0	30	1.50
2	2. Effectively articulates his vision for UNT	22	6	2	0	0	0	30	1.33
3	3. Effectively articulates his plans for realizing this vision	24	4	2	0	0	0	30	1.27
4	4. Effectively assesses the needs of the UNT System	24	4	0	2	О	0	30	1.33
5	5. Addresses the needs of the UNT System in a timely fashion	21	7	1	1	0	0	30	1.40
6	6. Identifies appropriate opportunities to improve the UNT System	19	6	2	2	О	0	29	1.55
7	7. Effectively addresses opportunities to improve the UNT System	22	5	0	2	0	0	29	1.38
8	8. Effectively represents the UNT System before the Board of Regents, the state legislature and the Higher Education Coordinating Board (THECB)	20	3	1	1	2	o	27	1.59
9	9. Effective management of administrative appointments	22	5	3	0	0	0	30	1.37
10	10. Demonstrates trustworthiness	27	1	0	1	1	0	30	1.27
11	11. Demonstrates personal accountability	25	2	0	1	0	0	28	1.18
12	12. Overall performance/effectiveness in position	24	3	1	1	О	0	29	1.28

Statistic	1. Provides effective leadershi p for UNT	2. Effectivel y articulates his vision for UNT	3. Effectivel y articulates his plans for realizing this vision	4. Effectivel y assesses the needs of the UNT System	5. Addresse s the needs of the UNT System in a timely fashion	6. Identifies appropriate opportunitie s to improve the UNT System	7. Effectively addresses opportunitie s to improve the UNT System	8. Effectively represents the UNT System before the Board of Regents, the state legislature and the Higher Education Coordinatin g Board (THECB)	g. Effective management of administrativ e appointments	10. Demonstrates trustworthines S	11. Demonstrate s personal accountability	12. Overall performance/effectivenes s in position
Min Value	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Max Value	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	5	3	5	4	4
Mean	1.50	1.33	1.27	1.33	1.40	1.55	1.38	1.59	1.37	1.27	1.18	1.28
Variance	0.67	0.37	0.34	0.64	0.52	0.83	0.67	1.48	0.45	0.82	0.37	0.49
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.61	0.58	0.80	0.72	0.91	0.82	1.22	0.67	0.91	0.61	0.70
Total Response s	30	30	30	30	30	29	29	27	30	30	28	29