FACULTY SENATE MEETING

University Union 332

MINUTES - May 8, 2019

Aviles-Diz,	SPAN	l P	Kalin,	AEAH	Р	Ruderman,	PSCI	P			
Jorge	CLASS	•	Nadine	CVAD		Richard	CLASS	•			
Ayre, Brian	BIOL	Р	Kawamura,	MATH	Α	Ruggero,	PSYC	Р			
	COS		Kiko	COS		Camilo	CLASS				
Baker, Rose	LTEC	Р	Krahmer,	LIBR	Р	Sexton,	MGMT	Α			
	COI		Ana		-	Michael	COB				
Biglaiser,	PSCI	Р	Lane,	MUVS	Р	Shahrokhi,	CSE	L			
Glen	CLASS		Jennifer	MUSIC	ļ ·	Farhad	CENG	-			
Boesch,	EPSY	Р	Lin, Lin	LTEC	Α	Stockdale,	HIST	Α			
Miriam	COE		,	COI		Nancy	CLASS				
Broberg,	MUCS	Α	Long, Chris	TEA	Р	Stromberg,	TEA	Α	TEMPORARY	SENATO	RS
Kirsten	MUSIC			COE	-	Linda	COE				
Broyles,	JOUR	Р	Martin,	MRTS	L	Subramaniam,	TEA	Р	Jeff Britain	HTM	Р
Sheri	CLASS		James M.	CLASS	-	Karthigeyan	COE		(Dubin)	CMHT	
Burns, Doug	LIBR	Р	McAfee,	CHEM	Р	Tooch, Mario	DANC	Р	, ,		
-,			Jason	COS		,	CLASS				
Catalano,	RHAB	Р	Mehta,	EPSY	Р	Wang, Xuexia	CSE	Р			
Denise	CHPS		Smita	COE		3,	CENG				
Chamberlin,	THEA	Р	Moreland,	ENGL	Р	Warren, Scott	LTEC	Р			
Adam	CLASS		Kimberly	CLASS			COI				
Chang, Mei	TEA	Α	Morton,	WLLC	Р	Watson,	TNT	Α			
G.	COE		Sophie	CLASS		Cindy	cos				
F::f	WLLC	_	Maddanda	00)4//		MC-1t	TEA	_			
Filosofova,	_	Р	Mukherjee,	SOWK	Р	Wickstrom,	TEA	Α			
Tatiana	CLASS	n	Dhrubodhi	CHPS	В	Carol	COE	Р			
Fu, Song	CSE	Р	O'Donnell,	HTM	Р	Yanowski,	LIBR				
Cofficer	CENG	۸	Joe	CMHT	D	Kevin	000	Р			
Gaffney,	MGMT	Α	Olness,	ASPL	Р	Yeatts, Dale	SOC CLASS	P			
Nolan	COB		Gloria	CHPS			CLASS				
Hensel, Paul	PSCI	Р	Olschofka,	MUIS	Р						
	CLASS		Felix	MUSIC	_				11011110		
Hughes, Lee	BIOL	Р	Perez, Jose	PHYS	Р				NON-VOTING	SENATO	RS
	COS			COS					<u> </u>	T	
Hutchison,	ACCT	L	Peters,	ENGL	Р				Bradberry,	SGA	Α
Paul	COB		John	CLASS					Stephon		
			Philbrick,	IS	Р				Greenidge,	GSA	Р
			Jodi	COI	<u> </u>				Giselle		
				1			Nervice				
									UBSTITUTES		
							(write in	name a	and person sub	bing for)	
			1								

GUESTS: Amy Armstrong (URCM), Terri Day (AA), Christy Crutsinger (Prov Ofc), Margaret Vestal (Prov Ofc), Kelly Donahue-Wallace (Chairs comm), V. Barbara Bush, Linda Holloway (OFS), Jackie Walker, Jaymee Haefner (UUCC)

l.	Welcome and Introductions	Call to order at 2:00PM
II.	Approval of Minutes (April 10, 2019)	Motion to approve the minutes as distributed Senator Chamberlin moved Senator Aviles-Diz seconded Discussion None Vote For – unanimous
	Faculty Discussion (President Neal Smatresk / Provost Jennifer Cowley)	Legislative session update Formula funding is doing well and going up The on time money is going up as well Both of these increases will bring us back up to flat with the losses from last year Any enrollment will be a boost Additive Manufacturing Looking good We are first in line This is a nervous position to be in, but we are looking good Ur legislators are good this year SB 25 has been fixed in an OK way New Building funding is back on the table We would use it for a new Science/Technology/Collaboration space No Hazelwood relief TRIP Funding No backlog funding at this point Best session for TRBs since President Smatresk has been here Faculty salary study follow up – data, P/T, inequities Library Faculty study was completed People are very happy Last year we did the Academic Faculty study We will do both on a 3 year cycle Committee on the status of women report It is up on the senate website It looks at salaries between men and women In general at institutions, those with the highest salaries get the highest awards In most cases at most places these people are men We need to work harder to more people and more women for these awards We also need to look at our hiring practices to get greater gender parity among all disciplines Clarification/update of Dual Employment policy We have a policy as do many institutions We had a lawsuit last summer that raised some issues The policy prohibits you from representing yourself as a UNT Employee You can use your employment as a thing you do and as a way to show credentials, you just can't be representing UNT as an employee You can use your employment as a thing you do and as a way to show credentials, you just can't be representing UNT as an employee You care a UNT employee year round including summer There are checks that we can help you do to make sure all bases are covered The policy is being updated

		There will be detailed trainings in the fall
		Right now, just report
		 Question/Comments
		 What about things that fall in between
		UT has exempted categories
		 This is an option for us too
		 We also have no honorarium policy
		These are the things we are looking to clarify
		 When in doubt, report it. We are here to help and manage
		conflicts if there are any
		 The bigger problem is that many people don't report and
		this can cause issues
		There are creative ways to accept honorariums
		Donate the money to UNT or another place
		We will have conversations in the fall about best
		practices
		The Ethics policy (05.015) is where this lives now
		If you speak because of your affiliation
		with UNT, it needs to be part of your
		workload
		What about using consulting money to help fund students It is no antice but it money to help fund students.
		It is an option, but it needs to be reviewed Is there a difference between a 9 and 12 month contract
		You still have an obligation to report
		Other items Craduation is coming and we expect record graduation numbers.
		Graduation is coming and we expect record graduation numbers It has been a fortestic year thanks.
		It has been a fantastic year, thanks.
IV.	Faculty Policy Oversight	Second Read [vote]
	Committee Updates (Adam	06.033 Study Abroad
	Chamberlin / Terri Day)	We cleaned up the formatting
	7,	This was reviewed as part of the 6 year review
		 Motion to approve as distributed
		■ From committee
		 Discussion
		 None
		■ Vote
		For - unanimous
		06.004 Faculty Reappointment, Tenure, Promotion, and Reduced
		Appointments
		 Several revisions
		 Clarified dossier
		 Clarified vote
		Clarified review years
		Clarified external review and advocate
		Clarified mid-year review candidates Added reduced appointment quiddlines
1		 Added reduced appointment guidelines
		 Questions/Comments
		Questions/CommentsMidterm review year move
		 Questions/Comments Midterm review year move Moved from year 3 to 4
		 Questions/Comments Midterm review year move Moved from year 3 to 4 Did this to allow for more data to be provided
		 Questions/Comments Midterm review year move Moved from year 3 to 4 Did this to allow for more data to be provided This would not start until next year
		 Questions/Comments Midterm review year move Moved from year 3 to 4 Did this to allow for more data to be provided This would not start until next year The reasoning is seen, but there may be Issues
		 Questions/Comments Midterm review year move Moved from year 3 to 4 Did this to allow for more data to be provided This would not start until next year The reasoning is seen, but there may be Issues with the timing to fix problems
		 Questions/Comments Midterm review year move Moved from year 3 to 4 Did this to allow for more data to be provided This would not start until next year The reasoning is seen, but there may be Issues with the timing to fix problems Less people might make tenure
		 Questions/Comments Midterm review year move Moved from year 3 to 4 Did this to allow for more data to be provided This would not start until next year The reasoning is seen, but there may be Issues with the timing to fix problems Less people might make tenure Issues like this were considered, but it
		 Questions/Comments Midterm review year move Moved from year 3 to 4 Did this to allow for more data to be provided This would not start until next year The reasoning is seen, but there may be Issues with the timing to fix problems Less people might make tenure Issues like this were considered, but it was decided to change because many
		 Questions/Comments Midterm review year move Moved from year 3 to 4 Did this to allow for more data to be provided This would not start until next year The reasoning is seen, but there may be Issues with the timing to fix problems Less people might make tenure Issues like this were considered, but it was decided to change because many disciplines don't really ramp up until
		 Questions/Comments Midterm review year move Moved from year 3 to 4 Did this to allow for more data to be provided This would not start until next year The reasoning is seen, but there may be Issues with the timing to fix problems Less people might make tenure Issues like this were considered, but it was decided to change because many disciplines don't really ramp up until year 3
		 Questions/Comments Midterm review year move Moved from year 3 to 4 Did this to allow for more data to be provided This would not start until next year The reasoning is seen, but there may be Issues with the timing to fix problems Less people might make tenure Issues like this were considered, but it was decided to change because many disciplines don't really ramp up until year 3

currently, get a 4th year review any There seems to be a split between grant faculty and publication faculty The Provost savs that any errors or issues should be talked about in annual reviews. It should not wait Maybe this needs to be better communicated to chairs Maybe clarify reasons and timeline in P&T meetings What about votes with even numbers? Maybe don't have an abstain vote? If there is a conflict of interest, maybe don't be on the committee The Provost says 51% means yes, 50% means no, simple majority rules This needs to be communicated The Provost does not like how many people abstain. You should only abstain for valid reasons Motion to change the wording in section III.F to "A simple majority of votes is required to receive an affirmative recommendation." Senator Chamberlin moved Senator Kalin seconded Discussion Candidates can request exclusions from this committee It is already addressed in this document You can use current guidelines or the ones you came in under Vote For - majority Abstain - 1 Not in favor of reopening dossiers This has been a part of the policy for a while It has to be a big reason This will be helped by using Workflow Only the Office of Academic Affairs can open a dossier Motion to accept the policy as amended From Committee Discussion None Vote For - unanimous First Read 06.007 Full-time Faculty and Academic Administrator Annual Review, and Academic Administrator Reappointment Blends in 06.018 Some simple updates and changes were made too 06.018 Annual Evaluation and Reappointment of Academic Administrators [for deletion] Will be deleted once 06.007 is approved ٧. Committee on Committees Thanks for sending in nominations report (Jodi Philbrick) Please keep sending in nominations

1//	Floatiana Committee report	Mation to account Du Dout on town over a country for Du Douge
VI.	Elections Committee report (Srinivasan Srivilliputhur - absent)	Motion to accept Dr. Rout as temporary senator for Dr. Perez From committee Discussion
		■ None ○ Vote ■ For – unanimous
VII.	Faculty Canada afficer	Pall Call to determine attendance of clinible votice foculty
V 11.	Faculty Senate officer elections (Srinivasan Srivilliputhur)	 Roll Call to determine attendance of eligible voting faculty Elections Chair Nominations Denise Catalano Vote, by affirmation
		Vice Chair Nominations Kevin Yanowski Adam Chamberlin
		■ Vote, by ballot ■ Kevin Yanowski
		 Secretary Nominations Adam Chamberlin Jodi Philbrick Vote, by ballot Adam Chamberlin
VIII.	Evaluation of University	There were many people who did not know who the upper admins were or
	Administrators Committee update (Rose Baker)	what they do The written parts will be distributed to supervisors in paper form The usefulness is much better this year Participation is down a bit, but we think that is due to issues with Qualtrics These issues are being looked into
		 The Provost says thanks because these are very complicated to do, but very useful Also, senators who reached out to their groups to encourage participation has better partition
IX.	Other Standing Committee Updates	UUCC Report/Minutes (Jaymee Haefner) Attendance and participation was much better this spring Motion to accept From Committee Discussion None Vote
		 For – majority Abstain - 1 Graduate Council Minutes (Denise Catalano) Motion to accept From Committee
		■ Discussion ■ None ■ Vote
		 For – majority Abstain – 1

X.	Executive Committee report	Charter and Bylaws committee is meeting to review charter and bylaws
	(Denise Catalano)	This will be presented to you through the normal ways Presedure Manuel Innervance elections [veta]
		Procedures Manual language clarifications [vote]
		 Faculty Participation in Governance Committee Changing it to align with senator term, as stated for executive
		committee (no 3-year limit)
		 Update language to remove UTPC/URC reference (amend to FGC)
		o Composition.
		The committee shall consist of 9 members: eight senators (one
		elected from each of the eight senate voting groups) and one faculty member selected by the Faculty Grievance Committee. The
		committee shall elect a chair from among its members.
		Motion to accept the change
		From Committee
		 Discussion
		You will serve the length of your term
		• Vote
		• For – unanimous
		 Faculty Research Committee Change language for EC appointment to clarify the fact that the EC
		appoints the at large positions but that those chosen do not have to
		be a member of the EC
		o Composition.
		This committee shall consist of 13 members: eight tenured faculty
		members elected by the senate (one from each senate voting
		group), three At-Large representatives appointed by the Executive Committee, the dean of the Toulouse Graduate School,
		and the Vice President for research who will serve as administrative
		co-chair of the committee
		 Motion to accept the change
		■ From Committee
		■ Discussion ■ None
		• Vote
		• For - unanimous
		Acceptance of end-of-year committee reports [vote]
		Academic Affairs
		Motion to accept
		From committeeDiscussion
		Discussion None
		■ Vote
		● For - unanimous
		Faculty Participation in Governance
		 Motion to accept
		From committee
		Discussion The survey was cent out.
		 The survey was sent out We have 101 responses, we would like more
		The link was sent out by the FS office
		■ Vote
		● For - unanimous
		Research Committee
		 Motion to accept
		From committee
		■ Discussion
		None Vote
		For - unanimous
		At-large appointments to Graduate Council

		 We need to make these 2 at large appointments Please send nominations to the FS office
XI.	New Business	None
XII.	Old Business	None
XIII.	Comments for the Good of the Order	 Please notify the FS Office if you will be going on any type of leave This could affect your ability to participate in senate activities and we might need to find a temporary replacement Shout outs Rose Baker, for doing such a good job on the survey Christy Crutsinger, for going "forward" to teaching Don't forget we have a June FS meeting in BLB 090
XIV.	Adjournment	Adjourned at 3:55PM